**Does sarcoidosis run in families?**

Yes, Sarcoidosis does run in families. The ACCESS study found that the risk for sarcoidosis was increased nearly 5-fold in parents and siblings of patients with the disease. Familial aggregation was much more prominent in whites than in African-Americans, but an important risk factor in both races.

Prof. Robert P. Baughman, of the University of Cincinnati, said *“We had a substantial number of white patients with severe disease. This finding challenges the widely held stereotype that the patients most often affected are African-Americans and young adults.”*

The analysis found that sarcoidosis does not primarily affect young adults. **Half of the patients were older than 40 years at the time of diagnosis and some were in their 60s and 70s.**

The disease had already affected one organ in 50% of these newly-diagnosed patients, two organs in 30%, while 20% had three or more organs involved. The lungs were most commonly affected, in 95% of all patients. The skin was affected in 16%, the lymph nodes, in 15%, and eyes and liver, in 12% each.

**Can my spouse get sarcoidosis?**

The ACCESS study noted that there were five husband-and-wife couples who both had sarcoidosis.** Yet sarcoidosis is such a rare disease that, based on statistics, there should have been none. Perhaps this is weak evidence of communicability, or maybe it indicates that shared experiences increase the risk factors. Nevertheless, It would seem wise to monitor the health of spouses.
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**SARCOIDOSIS**

Lessons Learned from the NIH ACCESS Study
ACCESS found that the Majority of Sarcoidosis Patients Do Not Get Better During the First Two Years, and Noted that Very Few Get Better After That Period

The Results of the ACCESS Study
The study found that the measures of Sarcoidosis severity: “FVC, FEV1, Scadding stage, and the dyspnea scale, remained unchanged over the two-year period in the majority of the patients”.*

Further, it was noted “most patients with persistent disease at two years were unlikely to have resolution of Sarcoidosis” and “end-stage pulmonary Sarcoidosis usually develops over one or two decades”.**

“...In addition, the use of corticosteroids may promote relapse of Sarcoidosis when the medication is discontinued”.*

“50 of the 215 (23%) of patients developed one or more new organ involvement over the two-year follow-up period”. *

Surprises
- There were no documented cases of ‘spontaneous resolution’.
- The use of corticosteroids by some of the study cohort actually made their sarcoidosis worsened.

In fact, the investigators concluded “the interaction of corticosteroid therapy with two-year outcome is complex...More patients with improved FVC or dyspnea at follow-up were taking corticosteroids than not, and more patients with a worse FVC or dyspnea at follow-up were taking corticosteroids than not”. *

“Patients taking corticosteroids tended to be improved or worsened with respect to FVC and dyspnea more often than those not taking corticosteroids”. *

Issues still to be addressed
“ACCESS did not obtain data concerning the dose of corticosteroids that was used by patients, which may also affect the rate of relapse”.*

“Another limitation of the ACCESS analysis of chest radiographs is that the initial and follow-up chest radiographs were not directly compared”.*
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A hyperlinked analysis of the report: “Two year prognosis of sarcoidosis: the ACCESS experience” is available from: http://AutoimmunityResearch.org/access-2yr.htm
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Understanding Terms Doctors May Use
(Taken from the Johns and Michele paper**)

Sarcoidosis outcome can be documented as 'spontaneous resolution', 'improvement', 'worsening', 'unchanged', or 'indeterminate'.

“Spontaneous resolution is complete clearing of disease for at least 1 year without treatment.”

“The improvement category includes patients either on or off therapy with decreased intra- or extrathoracic disease without worsening of the other, whereas worsening includes patients with increased intra- or extrathoracic disease without improvement in the other.”

“An unchanged status means that the patient has had no improvement or worsening while off treatment for 1 year, while indeterminate status includes patients who do not fit into one of the other 4 categories above.”

“Other terms are often used in the literature such as ‘spontaneous remission’, which is a measurable decrease in degree or extent of disease that occurs without specific treatment. Remissions may be either partial or complete. A ‘relapse’ represents worsening that occurs after a significant period of improvement either on or off treatment, usually following tapering or discontinuation of treatment”.
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